AO 120 (Rev. 2/99)

TO: Mail Stop 8

Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court Northern District of California on the following X Patents or ☐ Trademarks: DOCKET NO. DATE FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT CV 11-00910 PSG 280 North First Street, Rm 2112, San Jose, CA 95113 2/25/2011 DEFENDANT **PLAINTIFF** ORACLE CORPORATION DRUGLOGIC INC DATE OF PATENT PATENT OR HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK SEE ATTACHED COMPLAINT 3 4 In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Other Pleading ☐ Amendment ☐ Answer Cross Bill DATE OF PATENT PATENT OR HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK 2 3 4 5 In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE CLERK Betty Walton March 3, 2011 Richard W. Wieking

ORIGINAL KAREN JOHNSON-MCKEWAN (State Bar No. 121570) 1 kjohnson-mckewan@orrick.com 2 CHRISTINA VON DER AHE (State Bar No. 255467) cvonderahe@orrick.com 3 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP The Orrick Building 405 Howard Street 4 San Francisco, CA 94105-2669 Telephone: (415) 773-5700 5 Fax: (415) 773-5759 I. NEEL CHATTERJEE (State Bar No. 173985) nchatterjee@orrick.com 7 MICHAEL C. SPILLNER (State Bar No. 205785) mspillner@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 8 1000 Marsh Road 9 Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 614-7400 10 Fax: (650) 614-7401 11 DEBORAH K. MILLER (State Bar No. 95527) deborah.miller@oracle.com 12 PEGGY E. BRUGGMAN (State Bar No. 184176) peggy.bruggman@oracle.com 13 LESLEY E. KOTHE (State Bar No. 209512) lesley.kothe@oracle.com 14 ORACLE CORPORATION 500 Oracle Parkway 15 Redwood City, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 506-5200 16 Fax: (650) 506-7114 17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle Corporation and Oracle International Corporation 18 19 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 20 FOR THE NORTHER 21 Case No. ORACLE CORPORATION and 22 ORACLE INTERNATIONAL COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND CORPORATION, 23 DECLARATORY RELIEF Plaintiffs, 24 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL ٧. 25 DRUGLOGIC, INC., 26 Defendant. 27 28

Case5:11-cv-00910-PSG Document1 Filed02/25/11 Page1 of 5

24

25

26

27

Oracle realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1-7. 8.

Oracle International is the owner of the entire right, title, and interest in and to 9. U.S. Patent No. 6,684,221 ("the '221 patent"), entitled "Uniform Hierarchical Information

28

Classification and Mapping System." The '221 patent was duly and legally issued on January 27, 2004 in the name of inventor Kim Rejndrup. A copy of the '221 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 10. On information and belief, DrugLogic has been and is currently directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of, at least Claim 1 of the '221 patent through its design, marketing, manufacture, and/or sale of its Qscan product suite and related products and services. Discovery of material currently in the sole possession, custody, and/or control of DrugLogic will likely lead to evidence of infringement of other claims of the '221 patent.
- 11. Before this action, DrugLogic was aware of the '221 patent. DrugLogic continued its infringing activity despite its knowledge of the '221 patent and despite an objectively high likelihood that its actions constituted infringement of the '221 patent. Accordingly, DrugLogic's infringement has been willful and deliberate.
- 12. Oracle has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable injury as a result of DrugLogic's infringement. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 283 and 284, Oracle is entitled to damages and to a permanent injunction against further infringement.
- 13. This case is exceptional, and therefore, Oracle is entitled to attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of '091 Patent)

- 14. Oracle realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1-13.
- 15. On December 17, 2010, DrugLogic filed a complaint against Oracle Corporation and Phase Forward, Inc. ("Phase Forward"), a company that Oracle acquired in 2010, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Civil Action No. 10-05771 (JCS). DrugLogic served its complaint on December 23, 2010.
- 16. In its complaint, DrugLogic alleged that Oracle and Phase Forward directly and indirectly infringe and infringed at least four claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,789,091 ("the '091

patent"), by making and selling their Empirica Signal products and related products. DrugLogic
also alleged that Oracle and Relsys International, Inc. ("Relsys"), another company that Oracle
acquired in 2009, directly and indirectly infringe and infringed at least four claims of the '091
patent by making and selling their Argus Perceptive products and related products. DrugLogic
also asserted a claim against Oracle for breach of contract arising out of a Co-Marketing and
Development Agreement between DrugLogic and Relsys. DrugLogic's complaint requested
damages and an injunction.

- 17. On February 23, 2011, without explanation, DrugLogic voluntarily dismissed its complaint without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i) and 41(a)(1)(B).
- 18. On information and belief, despite its voluntary dismissal, DrugLogic still intends to pursue a claim for patent infringement against Oracle based on the '091 patent.
- 19. Oracle denies that it, Phase Forward, or Relsys infringe or infringed any claims of the '091 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, willfully or otherwise.
- 20. As a result, there is an actual controversy between DrugLogic and Oracle as to whether Oracle, Phase Forward, and Relsys infringe or infringed the '091 patent.
 - 21. Oracle thus seeks a declaratory judgment of non-infringement of the '091 patent.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of '091 Patent)

- 22. Oracle realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1-21.
- 23. In its complaint against Oracle and Phase Forward, DrugLogic alleged that the '091 patent is valid.
- 24. Oracle denies that the '091 patent is valid, due to a failure to comply with the requirements of Title 35 of the United States Code, including but not limited to Sections 101, 102, 103, and/or 112.
- 25. As a result, there is an actual controversy between DrugLogic and Oracle as to whether the '091 patent is valid.

- 1									
1	26. Oracle thus seeks a declaratory judgment that the '091 patent is invalid.								
2	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL								
3	Oracle hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.								
4	PRAYER FOR RELIEF								
5	WHEREFORE, Oracle prays for:								
6	A. A judgment that DrugLogic infringes and infringed, directly and indirectly, the								
7	'221 patent;								
8	B. Permanent injunctive relief against DrugLogic, its officers, agents, servants,								
9	employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, that								
10	restrains and enjoins them from directly and indirectly infringing the '221 patent;								
11	C. Compensatory damages in an amount according to proof;								
12	D. Exemplary damages based on DrugLogic's willful infringement;								
13	E. A judgment that this is an exceptional case pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;								
14	F. A declaratory judgment that that Oracle, Relsys, and Phase Forward do not and did								
15	not infringe any claim of the '091 patent;								
16	G. A declaratory judgment that each and every claim of the '091 patent is invalid;								
17	H. Pre-judgment interest in an amount according to proof;								
18	I. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and								
19	J. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.								
20	Dated: February 25, 2011 Respectfully submitted,								
21	ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP KAREN JOHNSON-MCKEWAN								
22	I. NEEL CHATTERJEE MICHAEL C. SPILLNER								
23	CHRISTINA VON DER AHE								
24	By: My / he								
25	Christina M. Von der Ahe								
26	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle Corporation and Oracle International								
27	Corporation								
28	-4-								
	and the second s								

Case 5:11-cv-00910-PSG Document1-1 Filed02/25/11 Page1 of 2

	YAL	CIV	TL C	OVER SH	EET			•
№ 18 44 (Rev. 12/07) (CAND Rev 1/10 The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the by local rules of court. This form, a the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTR	nformation contained herein	neither replace no	r supplem ted States	ant the filing and se	nice of pla	adings or other papers as req	uired by law, exception of the purpos	ot as provided se of initiating
	DEFENDANTS							
(a) PLAINTIFFS Oracle Corporation and Oracle International Corporation				Druglogic, Inc.				
Orable Corporation and Ora								
(b) County of Residence of (EXCEPT	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name		Attorneys (If Known)						
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcli 405 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel. (415) 773-5700, Fax. (Karen Johnson-McKewan		. Jy-			C11-910 psG			
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	TION (Place an "X" in One	e Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP (For Diversity Ca		NCIPAL PARTIES (P	and One Box for Dele	endant)
1 U.S. Government X 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)				izen of This State	PTF	DEF 1 incorporated or Princip of Business In Th		DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of	Parties in Item III)	Citi	izen of Another State	□ 2	2 Incorporated and Princ of Business In An		
				izen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	3 Foreign Nation	6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Place an "X" in One Box Only)					T. OFFICE OF	A CONTINUE
CONTRACT		RTS		FORFEITURE/P	ENALTY	BANKRUPTCY 1422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER ST	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 120 Marine 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Force losure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Yorl Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	personal injury product Liability product Liabil		jury— ractice particle particl	630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & True 650 Airline Regs 660 Occupations Safety/Fleal 650 Other LABD 710 Fair Labor Act 720 Labor/Mgm & Disclosur 740 Ratiway Lai 790 Other Labor 791 Empl. Ret. Security Act 1MMIGRA 462 Naturalizatio 463 Habeas Con Alien Deta 465 Other Immi	R Standards 1. Relations 1. Reporting 2. Act bor Act Litigation inc. ct TION 1. Application inc. cti Tion	### 1423 Wilddrawal ### 28 USC 157 PROPERTY AIGHTS ### 1820 Copyrights #### 1820 Copyrights ####################################	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Recketer I Corrupt Or 480 Consumer: 490 Cable/Sat 810 Selective S 850 Securities/ Exchange 875 Customer 6; 2 USC 3- 390 Other Statu 891 Agricultur 892 Economic 893 Environme 394 Energy Al 895 Freedom p Act 900 Appeal of Determine Under Eq (o Justice 950 Constituti State State	Banking In Influenced and Iganizations Credit IV ervice Commodities/ Commodities/ Challenge 410 Lory Actions al Acts Stabilization Act Intelligental Matters Incation Act of Information Fee ation and Access unality of utes
■ 1 Original □ 2 Rem	Court Appe	ellate Court	□ 4 Reins Reop	tated or □ 5 an ened (s	ansferred fi nother distr pecify)	ict 6 Multidistrict Litigation	7 Judge Magist Judgm	trate
	Cite the U.S. Civil S	Statute under whice	h you are	filing (Do not cit	e jurisdicti	onal statutes unless divers	ity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of	35 U.S.C. 271 & 28 U.S.C. 2201 Brief description of cause: Claim for Patent Infringement and Claim for Declaratory Judgment of Non Infringement and Invalidity.						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	TION	DEMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No						
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)	UNDER F.R.C.P. PLEASE REFE "NOTICE OF F	R TO CIVIL L.F RELATED CASE	R, 3-12 CC	ONCERNING RE	QUIREM	ENT TO FILE		

SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

SAN JOSE

EUREKA

IF ANY

DATE

IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (CIVIL L.R. 3-2) (PLACE AND "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 12/07)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

Example:
U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.